Contents

Key publications – the big picture	3
Case Studies	
HEE Star	5
Statistics	5
HEE National Data Programme	5
Published Peer Reviewed Research	5
Reviews	
Cost	
Timeliness of care	
Patient Experience and Care	g
Peer Support	12
Perceptions and scope of the role (defining the role)	12
Cancer Support Workers and Multidisciplinary teams	

Competency Frameworks	16
*Help accessing articles or papers	16

Produced by the HEE Knowledge Management team Evidence Briefs offer a quick overview of the published reports, research and evidence on a workforce-related topic. A starter for 10 on the evidence if you will.

Please acknowledge this work in any resulting paper or presentation as:

Evidence Brief: Cancer Support Workers. Katie Nicholas. (October 2020). UK: Health Education England Knowledge Management Team

Key publications – the big picture

Estimating the cost of growing the NHS cancer workforce in England by 2029 October 2020, Cancer Research UK

Over the last 50 years, the UK has made significant progress in improving survival outcomes for people diagnosed with cancer. In the 1970s, only 1 in 4 cancer patients would survive their disease for ten years or more. By 2010, this had risen to 2 in 4, and survival outcomes continue to improve.1There are several drivers for this, from the introduction of screening programmes and innovative treatments to ongoing improvements to health care pathways—all of which has been underpinned by the continued and tireless efforts of staff from across the NHS.

NHS Long Term Plan January 2019, NHS

The NHS Long Term Plan was developed in partnership with those who know the NHS best – frontline health and care staff, patients and their families and other experts.

See pp. 56-61 for "Cancer" including "all patients, including those with secondary cancers, will have access to the right expertise and support, including a Clinical Nurse Specialist or other support worker." P. 61

Strategic Framework for Cancer Workforce:

Interim working paper (phase 2) July 2018, HEE
In July 2018, the NHS was tasked with developing the
Long Term Plan (LTP) setting out how the service intends
to deliver major improvements in key areas including
transforming cancer care. This was followed by a multiyear workforce plan – the interim People Plan.

NHS England and Health Education England should encourage providers to work with Macmillan Cancer Support and other charities to develop and evaluate the role of support workers in enabling more patient centred care to be provide (p. 35)

The expansion of Clinical Support Workers (CNSs) (Macmillan pilot to free up senior CNS time in oncology, so that we can support oncologists in an increasingly complex role that relies on connections between many disciplines and organisations) could release valuable oncologist time and productivity (p. 54)

<u>Cancer Workforce Plan – Phase 1: Delivering the</u> <u>cancer strategy to 2021</u> 2017, HEE

In it we set out the key developments to increase supply through speciality training, to create new routes into the cancer workforce and to upskill existing staff. See also <u>Cancer Workforce Plan – Phase 1 progress update</u>

Interim NHS People Plan June 2019, NHS

Our Interim NHS People Plan, developed collaboratively with national leaders and partners, sets a vision for how people working in the NHS will be supported to deliver that care and identifies the actions we will take to help them.

Cancer workforce in England: a census of cancer, palliative care and chemotherapy speciality nurses and support workers in England 2017, Macmillan See p. 66 for numbers on "Cancer Support Workers"

This section describes the cancer support workers workforce in England. To be included in this report the posts had to be on Agenda for Change Bands 3 to 4, and the post holders had to spend over 50% of their time directly supporting adult cancer patients. This means many administrative roles and Band 2 support workers and healthcare assistants have not been included in these numbers.

See also <u>Considering the numbers</u> May 2018, British Journal of Nursing"

Case Studies

Indicative role specification for a Macmillan cancer support worker - care coordination Macmillan Cancer Support, August 2011

Macmillan has been working with the Department of Health in England (DHE) and NHS Improvement on the National Cancer Survivorship Initiative (NCSI) to develop new models of aftercare following completion of treatment and rehabilitation, as the current model of follow-up is unsustainable. The emerging aftercare models demonstrate that care needs should be assessed at key transition points and a plan of care developed to reflect risk stratification into one of 3 levels of care (supported self-management, shared care or complex care) to ensure that people are supported by the right person with the right skills and knowledge, first time, to meet their individual needs.

Support Worker – Impact Brief n.d., Macmillan Support Workers work as part of the cancer care team alongside registered practitioners to improve care for people with cancer. They work with other professionals and provide support by dealing with non complex tasks to allow registered practitioners to focus their expertise on managing the complex care needs. They provide coordination of care to people mostly after cancer treatment, who can be enabled to self-manage their own care with support, with open access back to the MDT.

See also p. 36 of the <u>Care Navigation Competency</u> <u>Framework</u> (Health Education England, 2016) for more case studies

See p. 18 <u>"Velindre Cancer Care"</u> and their Health Care Support Worker (HCSW)

HEE Star

More resources and tools are available in the **Cancer** section, or by searching "support worker" on the HEE Star:

https://www.hee.nhs.uk/our-work/hee-star

Statistics

You can find relevant statistics on the Health and Care Statistics Landscape under "Health and Care" and use the "Cancer" filter

https://gss.civilservice.gov.uk/hc-statisticslandscape/

Cancer Research UK also have a wealth of statistics for the UK

HEE National Data Programme

HEE staff can look at the <u>National Data Warehouse (NDL)</u> SharePoint site to find out more about datasets and Tableau products.

Published Peer Reviewed Research

Reviews

Patient navigators for people with chronic disease: a systematic review February 2018, PLoS One

People with chronic diseases experience barriers to managing their diseases and accessing available health services. Patient navigator programs are increasingly being used to help people with chronic diseases navigate and access health services. OBJECTIVE: The objective of this review was to summarize the evidence for patient navigator programs in people with a broad range of chronic diseases, compared to usual care. METHODS: We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, CENTRAL, CINAHL, PsycINFO, and Social Work Abstracts from inception to August 23, 2017. We also searched the reference lists of included articles. We included original reports of randomized controlled trials of patient navigator programs compared to usual care for adult and pediatric patients with any one of a defined set of chronic diseases. RESULTS: From a total of 14,672 abstracts, 67 unique studies fit our inclusion criteria. Of these, 44 were in cancer, 8 in diabetes, 7 in HIV/AIDS, 4 in cardiovascular disease, 2 in chronic kidney disease, 1 in dementia and 1 in patients with more than one condition. Program characteristics varied considerably. Primary outcomes were most

commonly process measures, and 45 of 67 studies reported a statistically significant improvement in the primary outcome. CONCLUSION: Our findings indicate that patient navigator programs improve processes of care, although few studies assessed patient experience, clinical outcomes or costs. The inability to definitively outline successful components remains a key uncertainty in the use of patient navigator programs across chronic diseases. Given the increasing popularity of patient navigators, future studies should use a consistent definition for patient navigation and determine which elements of this intervention are most likely to lead to improved outcomes.

Cancer care coordination: building a platform for the development of care coordinator roles and ongoing evaluation 2015, Australian Journal of Primary Health Abstract only* Continuity of care is integral to the quality and safety of care provided to people with cancer and their carers. Further evidence is required to examine the contribution Nurse Cancer Care Coordinator (NCCC) roles make in improving the continuity. The aim of the present study was to clarify the assumptions underpinning the NCCC roles and provide a basis for ongoing evaluation. The project comprised a literature review and a qualitative study to develop program logic. The participants who were purposively sampled included policy makers, practitioners, patient advocates, and researchers. Both the literature and participant reports found that NCCC roles are diverse and responsive to contextual influences to coordinate care at the individual (patient), organisational, and systems levels. The application of the program logic for the development of NCCC roles was explored. The conceptualisation of NCCC roles was also examined in relation to Boundary Spanning and Relational Coordination theory. Further research is required to examine how NCCCs contribute to improving equity, safety, quality and coordination of care. The project has implications for research,

policy and practice, and makes explicit existing assumptions to provide a platform for further development and evaluation of these roles.

Is care really shared? A systematic review of collaborative care (shared care) interventions for adult cancer patients with depression February 2019, BMC Health Services Research BACKGORUND Collaborative care involves active engagement of primary care and hospital physicians in shared care of patients beyond usual discharge summaries. This enhances communitybased care and reduces dependence on specialists and hospitals. The model, successfully implemented in chronic care management, may have utility for treatment of depression in cancer. The aim of this systematic review was to identify components, delivery and roles and responsibilities within collaborative interventions for depression in the context of cancer. METHODS Medline. PsycINFO, CINAHL, Embase, Cochrane Library and Central Register for Controlled Trials databases were searched to identify studies of randomised controlled trials comparing a treatment intervention that met the definition of collaborative model of depression care with usual care or other control condition. Studies of adult cancer patients with major depression or a non-bipolar depressive disorder published in English between 2005 and January 2018 were included. Cochrane checklist for risk of bias was completed (Study Prospero registration: CRD42018086515).RESULTSOf 8 studies identified, none adhered to the definition of 'collaborative care'. Interventions delivered were multi-disciplinary, with care co-ordinated by nurses (n = 5) or social workers (n = 2) under the direction of psychiatrists (n = 7). Care was primarily delivered in cancer centres (n = 5). Care co-ordinators advised primary care physicians (GPs) of medication changes (n = 3) but few studies (n = 2) actively involved GPs in medication prescribing and management. CONCLUSIONS This review highlighted joint participation of GPs and specialist care physicians

in collaborative care depression management is promoted but not achieved in cancer care. Current models reflect hospital-based multi-disciplinary models of care. PROTOCOL REGISTRATION The protocol for this systematic review has been registered with PROSPERO. The registration number is CRD42018086515.

Cost

Cancer care coordinators: what are they and what will they cost? August 2013, The New Zealand Medical Journal Abstract only* Health care resources are scarce, and future funding increases are less likely than in the past; reorientation of health services to more efficient and effective delivery is as timely as ever. In this light, we consider the recent funding decision by the Government to provide \$16 million over the next 4 years for cancer coordination nurses. While the intricacies of the role are still being defined, it is likely that cancer care coordinators could benefit patients in terms of access to and timeliness of care, and patient satisfaction. Our research into the role shows that many coordinating activities for cancer patients are already being done, but often in an ad hoc manner by a number of different personnel. Thus, we estimate that the likely 'true' incremental cost of cancer care coordinators is in fact relatively low when considered in opportunity cost terms because the cancer care coordinator will be able to free up time for other staff enabling them to provide care elsewhere in the health system and reduce tasks being unnecessarily repeated. The funding of cancer care coordinators is a great opportunity to improve the timeliness of care and improve the experience of patients through their cancer journey, but the success of these roles depends on the leadership provided, peer support, continual appraisal and the resources available.

<u>Cancer care coordinators in stage III colon cancer: a cost-utility</u> <u>analysis</u> August 2015, BMC Health Services Background: There is momentum internationally to improve coordination of complex care pathways. Robust evaluations of such interventions are scarce. This paper evaluates the cost-utility of cancer care coordinators for stage III colon cancer patients, who generally require surgery followed by chemotherapy. METHODS We compared a hospital-based nurse cancer care coordinator (CCC) with 'business-as-usual' (no dedicated coordination service) in stage III colon cancer patients in New Zealand. A discrete event microsimulation model was constructed to estimate quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) and costs from a health system perspective. We used New Zealand data on colon cancer incidence, survival, and mortality as baseline input parameters for the model. We specified intervention input parameters using available literature and expert estimates. For example, that a CCC would improve the coverage of chemotherapy by 33% (ranging from 9 to 65%), reduce the time to surgery by 20% (3 to 48%), reduce the time to chemotherapy by 20% (3 to 48%), and reduce patient anxiety (reduction in disability weight of 33%, ranging from 0 to 55%). RESULTS Much of the direct cost of a nurse CCC was balanced by savings in businessas-usual care coordination. Much of the health gain was through increased coverage of chemotherapy with a CCC (especially older patients), and reduced time to chemotherapy. Compared to 'business-as-usual', the cost per QALY of the CCC programme was \$NZ 18,900 (≈ \$US 15,600; 95% UI: \$NZ 13,400 to 24,600). By age, the CCC intervention was more cost-effective for colon cancer patients < 65 years (\$NZ 9,400 per QALY). By ethnicity, the health gains were larger for Māori, but so too were the costs, meaning the cost-effectiveness was roughly comparable between ethnic groups. CONCLUSIONS Such a nurse-led CCC intervention in New Zealand has acceptable cost-effectiveness for stage III colon cancer, meaning it probably merits funding. Each CCC programme will differ in its likely health gains and costs, making generalisation from this evaluation to other CCC interventions difficult. However. this evaluation suggests that CCC interventions that increase

coverage of, and reduce time to, effective treatments may be costeffective.

Cancer Care Coordinators to Improve Tamoxifen Persistence in Breast Cancer: How Heterogeneity in Baseline Prognosis Impacts on Cost-Effectiveness December 2016, Value in Health: The Journal of the International Society for Pharmaeconomics and Outcomes Research Abstract only*

Objectives: To assess the cost-effectiveness of a cancer care coordinator (CCC) in helping women with estrogen receptor positive (ER+) early breast cancer persist with tamoxifen for 5 years. METHODS We investigated the cost-effectiveness of a CCC across eight breast cancer subtypes, defined by progesterone receptor (PR) status, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status, and local/regional spread. These subtypes range from excellent to poorer prognoses. The CCC helped in improving tamoxifen persistence by providing information, checking-in by phone, and "troubleshooting" concerns. We constructed a Markov macrosimulation model to estimate health gain (in quality-adjusted life-years or QALYs) and health system costs in New Zealand, compared with no CCC. Participants were modeled until death or till the age of 110 years. Some input parameters (e.g., the impact of a CCC on tamoxifen persistence) had sparse evidence. Therefore, we used estimates with generous uncertainty and conducted sensitivity analyses. RESULTS The cost-effectiveness of a CCC for regional ER+/PR-/HER2+ breast cancer (worst prognosis) was NZ \$23,400 (US \$15,800) per QALY gained, compared with NZ \$368,500 (US \$248,800) for local ER+/PR+/HER2- breast cancer (best prognosis). Using a cost-effectiveness threshold of NZ \$45,000 (US \$30,400) per QALY, a CCC would be cost-effective only in the four subtypes with the worst prognoses. CONCLUSIONS There is value in investigating cost-effectiveness by different subtypes within a disease. In this example of breast cancer, the poorer the prognosis, the greater the health gains from

a CCC and the better the cost-effectiveness. Incorporating heterogeneity in a cost-utility analysis is important and can inform resource allocation decisions. It is also feasible to undertake in practice.

Timeliness of care

<u>Using nurse navigation to improve timeliness of lung cancer care at a veterans hospital</u> February 2012, Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing *Abstract only**

The Connecticut Veterans Affairs Healthcare System (CT-VAHCS) sought to improve the timeliness of lung cancer care by filling the new position of cancer care coordinator with an advanced practice nurse (APN) functioning as a nurse navigator. The multifaceted nature of diagnosing lung cancer and the barriers encountered by patients and families as they access the complex healthcare system contributed to substantial delays in diagnosing and treating this disease. Beginning in January 2007 when the cancer care coordinator was hired, she recorded data regarding timeliness and stage at diagnosis for all patients diagnosed with non-small cell lung cancer. CT-VAHCS created and modified several processes to improve timeliness and quality of cancer care as soon as a patient's imaging suggested a new diagnosis of malignancy. The cancer care coordinator effected a measurable improvement in timeliness. In 2003, the average was 136 days from suspicion of cancer to treatment compared to 55 days in 2010, with a trend toward diagnosis of non-small cell lung cancer at an earlier stage. Oncology-certified APNs in the position of cancer care coordinator can engage multiple disciplines to generate process changes and improve timeliness of lung cancer care.

<u>Factors delaying chemotherapy for breast cancer in four urban and rural oncology units</u> July 2013, ANZ Journal of Surgery *Abstract only**

Background: Delays in commencing adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer beyond 12 weeks are associated with increased mortality. The aim of this study was to identify factors delaying chemotherapy in an inner metropolitan, outer metropolitan, small rural and large rural cancer centre in New South Wales. Australia.METHODSWe retrospectively reviewed 400 consecutive patients that received adjuvant chemotherapy for stages I-III breast cancer. We evaluated factors affecting time from primary and definitive surgery until commencing chemotherapy.RESULTSThe primary factor associated with chemotherapy delays was the geographic location of the cancer centre. The median time from primary surgery to chemotherapy was longer for the large rural centre (median 58 days), compared with the outer metropolitan (45 days), small rural (39 days) and inner metropolitan centre (33 days). Treatment delays in the large rural centre were associated with higher rates of multiple operations (43% versus 31% elsewhere), mainly because of more staged axillary dissections (34% versus 19%), and longer time from definitive surgery to oncology assessment.CONCLUSIONPatients in the large rural centre, who are served by fly-in medical oncology services, are more likely to experience delays in receiving adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer. Strategies to reduce delays include use of intraoperative frozen section analysis, multidisciplinary meetings, improving efficiency in pathology reporting and employment of a breast cancer care coordinator and an on-site medical oncologist.

<u>Timely access to medical oncology services closer to home for rural patients: experience from the Townsville Teleoncoolgy Model</u> 2014, Australian Journal of Rural Health *Abstract only**

Problem: Prior to 2009, the teleoncology model of the Townsville Cancer Centre (TCC) did not achieve its aims of equal waiting times for rural and urban patients and the provision of reliable, local acute cancer care. From 2007-2009, 60 new patients from Mt Isa travelled to TCC for their first consultation and their first dose of chemotherapy. Six of these patients required inter-hospital transfers and eight required urgent flights to attend outpatient clinics. Only 50% these rural patients (n = 30) were reviewed within one week of their referral, compared with 90% of Townsville patients. DESIGN A descriptive study. SETTING TCC provides teleoncology services to 21 rural towns; the largest is Mt Isa, Qld. KEY MEASURES FOR IMPROVEMENT Specialist review of 90% of urgent cases within 24 hours, and 90% of non-urgent cases within one week of referral via videoconferencing. A 50% reduction in inpatient inter-hospital transfers from Mt Isa to Townsville. STRATEGIES FOR CHANGE Employment of a half-time medical officer and a half-time cancer care coordinator, and implementation of new policies. EFFECTS OF CHANGE Between 2009 and 2011, TCC provided cancer care to 70 new patients from Mt Isa. Of these new patients, 93% (65/70) were seen within one week of referral. All 17 patients requiring urgent reviews were seen within 24 hours of referral and managed locally thus eliminating the need for inpatient inter-hospital transfers. LESSONS LEARNT Provision of timely acute cancer care closer to home requires an increase in the rural case complexity and human resources.

Patient Experience and Care

An evaluation of the role of support workers in lung cancer February 2015, Cancer Nursing Practice

Aim: To map the prevalence and type of unregistered roles that have emerged to support lung cancer nurse specialists (LCNSs), and to explore the effect of support worker roles on the work of

LCNSs and how this may affect multidisciplinary teams and service delivery. Methods A mixed methods approach was taken using an electronic survey and qualitative telephone interviews. Findings When appropriately planned and resourced, support worker roles can have a significant effect on practice and service delivery, enhance the work of the LCNS and have a positive effect on patient experience. Support workers create opportunities for service improvement initiatives that would not otherwise be feasible. Conclusion This study highlights the importance of planning and training to ensure the success of support worker roles.

<u>Exploring patient experiences of cancer services in regional</u>
<u>Australia</u> (study from Australia) 2010, Cancer Nursing *Abstract only**

Numerous previous studies have suggested that cancer care in rural and regional Australia is fragmented and that rural and regional patients have less access to services. However, knowledge concerning inequality of health and cancer care in regional and rural settings is still inconclusive. The social and economic disadvantages in regional locations are often linked to insufficient resources and infrastructures to support cancer care and treatment. The aim of this study was to explore cancer patients' experiences from the perspective of patients and healthcare providers in a regional area of Western Australia. It was found that patients who had the involvement of a cancer nurse coordinator and cancer support workers had better experiences and more streamlined care than did those who had to navigate the journey alone. This study endorses the function of cancer nurse coordinators and cancer support workers in providing better coordination of care in rural and regional cancer patients.

Impact of breast cancer care RN-coordinator program on patients' distress level 2018, Canadian Oncology Nursing Journal

The purpose of this study was to determine whether patients receiving navigation supportive care from a Breast Cancer Care Coordinator (BCCC), prior to initial oncology consultation at a British Columbia Cancer Agency, Abbotsford Centre (BCCA-AC), demonstrated different levels of anxiety and depression from those not receiving such support at the same BCCA centre. A retrospective review of the Psychological Screen for Cancer (PSSCAN) scores of new breast cancer patients seen for oncology consultation for the control cohort (receiving usual care) were compared to PSSCAN scores of those who had received care from a BCCC prior to the oncology consultation (the study cohort). A total of 91 PSSCANs were reviewed in the study, with 54 belonging to the treatment group and 37 to the control group. PSSCAN scores for anxiety and depression did not show significant differences between the two groups.

An evaluation report of the nurse navigator services for the breast cancer support program 2015, Canadian Oncology Nursing Journal Abstract only*

The purpose of this quality improvement project was to evaluate the effectiveness of breast cancer care support provided by breast cancer care navigators (BCCN) for women attending the breast health clinic (BHC). This evaluative process examined patients' satisfaction with the nurse navigator program that focused on addressing breast cancer patients' informational needs, emotional support, and guidance through the cancer trajectory. A survey approach using Likert-type scales and open-ended questions was utilized to gather data. Patients seen at the BHC between July 2011 and July 2013 were sent the surveys by mail. The 154 responses constituted a 69% response rate. More than 90% of participants understood the information provided by the BCCN and were satisfied with the information that had been received. Psychosocial support from patient/family counselling services at the agency and in the community were among the most common request for

resources. Recommendations include contacting patients directly after their initial meeting at the clinic and at least once after their treatments began, to ensure continuity and support. BCCN role was identified as being valuable with a positive effect on patients' experience.

<u>Patterns and predictors of colorectal cancer care coordination: a population-based survey of Australian patients</u> January 2017, Cancer

Background: Improving care coordination is a key priority for health services. The aims of this study were to identify patient- and health service-related predictors of poorly coordinated care and to explore patient preferences to assist care coordination. Methods: Patients with incident colorectal cancer, identified from a state-wide cancer registry, completed a self-report questionnaire 6 to 8 months after their diagnosis. Care coordination was assessed with the Cancer Care Coordination Questionnaire for Patients. Multiple linear regression models were used to predict factors associated with a poor experience with cancer care coordination. Results: Among 560 patients (56% response rate), care coordination experiences were normally distributed (mean score, 76.1; standard deviation, 10.9). Patients who had 3 or more comorbid conditions (β, -4.56; standard error [SE], 1.46; P = .006), little or no understanding of the health system (β , -4.34; SE, 0.94; P < .001), and no regular general practitioner (GP; β , -4.09; SE, 2.07; P = .049) experienced poorer care coordination. At the health service level, patients who did not receive a written pretreatment plan (β. -4.15: SE. 0.95: P < .001) or did not see a cancer care coordinator (β , -3.29; SE, 1.03; P = .001) had lower scores. The most preferred resources included information packs (92%), written care plans (88%), and improved access to their own personal medical records (electronic, 86; paper, 84%), with most patients preferring a shared GP and surgeon care model. Conclusions: There was wide variation in experiences across the state. The factors associated with lower scores provide a focus for targeted strategies for improving patients' experience with colorectal cancer care coordination.

Colorectal cancer: care coordination in a tertiary referral centre in Saudi Arabia July 2015, Gastrointestinal Nursing Abstract only* Colorectal cancer in Saudi Arabia is on the increase. From diagnosis through to completion of treatment and ongoing follow-up and screening, patients are required to undertake a long journey. involving visits to numerous specialists, and a variety of investigations, interventions and treatments. Unsurprisingly, patients feel lost, overwhelmed and anxious. There is an obvious need for ongoing communication, education, guidance, advocacy and help with navigation between services. Internationally, the need for expert cancer care coordination has been recognised for many years. Patients deserve standardisation of evidence-based care to help with the decision-making process. Cancer-care coordinators around the world have demonstrated improvements in patient low, patient experience and satisfaction. The King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre in Riyadh is a tertiary care facility. treating approximately 30% of all cancer cases in Saudi Arabia. This article aims to describe the experience of introducing colorectal cancer care coordination, using a patient-centred, multidisciplinary approach.

Efficacy of the Breast Cancer Navigator Role in reducing distress in new diagnoses breast cancer patients: a pilot study May 2018, Journal of Oncology and Survivorship Background: Breast Cancer Navigator (BCN) programs are considered best practice in cancer care, using the Commission on Cancer Standard 3.1. The diagnosis of breast cancer is often accompanied by extreme distress. Studies to date on the BCN intervention have primarily examined screening practices, cost measures, or downstream effects on care. Objective: Few primary studies have examined the effect of early intervention by a Breast

Navigator Nurse program on distress. We tested the effects of an early intervention by the BCN on distress. A secondary interest was how an early intervention might change practices. Methods: A convenience sample of newly diagnosed breast cancer patients was recruited for a descriptive, quantitative pilot study, incorporating anecdotal commentary. Constructs from Hildegard Peplau's Theory of Interpersonal Relations and the Social Ecological Model were adapted to the Johnson Bongiorno Model to guide the study. Distress levels were collected immediately preand postintervention, using the Distress Thermometer. A paired samples test was conducted to examine distress before and after the BCN intervention. **Results:** There was a statistically significant difference in scores between preintervention distress (M = 7.44; SD = 2.68) and postintervention distress (M = 2.94; SD = 1.86) conditions t(8) = 8.27 p-0.00. Effect size was calculated, with a Cohen's *d* of 1.79. **Conclusion**: Findings suggest that the BCN intervention reduces distress in this population, although the sample size was small. This study helped to drive practice changes at the institution, allowing the BCN to intervene shortly after the diagnosis is delivered. There was a significant increase in the number of patients choosing to stay with care at the institution.

Peer Support

<u>Evaluating peer support for prostate cancer: The prostate cancer peer support inventory</u> 2005, BJU International

Objective: To develop and test a measure for assessing peer support for men attending prostate cancer support groups, and to describe socio-demographic, medical and adjustment characteristics of Australian men who attend these support groups. PATIENTS AND METHODS: In all, 1224 men (51% response) from 44 prostate-cancer support groups across Australia were recruited by mail. Men completed self-report measures that included the Prostate Cancer Peer Support Inventory (PCSI), the UCLA Prostate

Cancer Index bother scales, psychological distress, quality of life (QoL), bother from pain and tiredness, perception of the clinician's support for group participation. Group-level variables were also included in the analyses. RESULTS: Peer support was rated positively by most men; a high satisfaction with support groups was related to better QoL, lower pain, younger age, higher perceived clinician support for group participation, use of alternative therapies, lower education, and regular attendance; dissatisfaction with support groups was related to higher psychological distress, lower QoL, and lower perceived clinician support for group participation. Group variables did not predict positive or negative support. Overall QoL was similar to community norms and psychological distress was low, with only 8% of men reporting high distress. The most common physical symptom was sexual bother, with 74% of men reporting moderate or high bother.

CONCLUSIONS: The PCSI was a useful measure of peer support. Perception of the benefits of peer support was related to individual but not group differences. The clinicians' attitudes to participation in support groups influenced the men's experience of these groups, and this finding has implications for developing support services for these men.

Perceptions and scope of the role (defining the role)

Role of care co-ordinators in cancer clinical nurse specialist teams

April 2016, Cancer Nursing Practice Abstract only*

Strategic interest in the skill mix of the healthcare workforce has acknowledged the increasingly important role of non-registered staff, with care for cancer patients provided by teams comprising clinical nurse specialists (CNSs) at bands 6 and 7 and care coordinators at bands 3 and 4. This article outlines the development and role of care co-ordinators in cancer CNS teams in Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, using the example of a

gynaecological oncology care co-ordinator as a case study. With the support of CNS colleagues, the Leeds care co-ordinators provide high-quality patient care through telephone and face-to-face contact and holistic needs assessments. Care co-ordinators act as named key workers for patients, developing good relationships and providing support at diagnosis, through treatment and beyond to survivorship. Patients and carers have welcomed the role and its development has enabled CNSs to focus their specialist skills on those patients requiring higher level interventions.

Opinion: Giving a helping hand to cancer survivors (the role in the UK) May 2016, Cancer Nursing Practice Abstract only*

The article discusses the scope and criteria of the support worker's role in cancer care in Great Britain. Topics covered include identification of the optical skill mix in specific cancer care teams, the Shape of Caring review of Health Education England in 2015, and clear identification of the scope of practice of each support worker.

<u>Scope of Practice, Role Legitimacy, and Role Potential for Cancer</u> <u>Care Coordinators</u> 2019, Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing

Objective The cancer care coordinator (CCC) role has become a priority in providing coordinated, patient-centered, supported care for patients, and their families experiencing cancer. The CCC role exists with heterogeneity across tumor streams, clinical disciplines, and institutions. This study explored CCCs perceptions and experiences of their role, scope of practice, and potential for future role development. Methods This research used a mixed methods design. Focus groups and individual interviews were conducted with a purposive sample of 16 CCCs from two tertiary public teaching hospitals in Melbourne, Australia. A thematic analysis approach was used. A quantitative record of relative time spent on tasks was also collected. Results Three major themes were identified: (1) Perceptions of role legitimacy, (2) Structure and

funding of the role determines scope of practice, and (3) Reflections on the potential for the role. Variability was evident in predominant tasks undertaken, integration into the unit, level of patient contact, and regard from other professional colleagues. Variability appears to relate to employment time assigned to the role, and history and structure of the role. Conclusions The findings underline the need when establishing and reviewing CCC roles for explicit attention to be given to the reporting, integration, structural, and collegiate support for the role as this will profoundly influence its success.

Expertise, advocacy and activism: A qualitative study on the activities of prostate cancer peer support workers January 2020, Health

Peer support workers are now working with patients in a variety of settings, coming into close contact and even work alongside health professionals. Despite the potentially influential position peer support workers hold in relation to those engaged in support activities, their role, duties and their relationship to peers and health professionals lack clarity and is often defined by other actors. This study explores how peer support workers interpret and define the activities, responsibilities and knowledge associated with their work. Using methods of membership categorisation analysis, we analysed interview materials generated by conducting individual semi-structured interviews during the autumn of 2016 with prostate cancer peer support workers (n = 11) who currently volunteer as support workers in Finland. Although the peer support workers acknowledged the psychosocial aspects of the work, we argue that their interpretations extend far beyond this and encompass expertise, advocacy and activism as central features of their work. These can be used to strengthen their position as credible commentators and educators on issues relating to cancer and men's health; raise awareness and represent the 'patient's voice' and attempt to influence both policy and clinical practice. These

findings suggest that by categorising their work activities in different ways, voluntary sector actors such as peer support workers can attempt to portray themselves as legitimate authorities on a range of issues and influence decision-making ranging from individual level treatment decisions all the way to health policy.

Health professionals involved in cancer care coordination: nature of the role and scope of practice August 2018, Collegian Abstract only*

Background Cancer treatment can be complex; and coordination of cancer care across different treatments and health settings is essential to high quality health care. Objective The aim of this study was to determine the location and scope of practice of health professionals involved in cancer care coordination in Victoria. Australia. Methods The study design was cross-sectional. An online survey was disseminated through 14 peak organisations for oncology health professionals to individuals whose work involved coordinating the care of cancer patients. Findings Analysis was conducted on a sample of 91 survey respondents. Only 26.4% (n = 24) reported a job title of Cancer Care Coordinator. Overall, 67.0% (n = 61) reported they were specifically funded to coordinate the care of cancer patients and 30.8% (n = 28) devoted all their time to this role. The majority worked in public health services (n = 73, 80.2%) and 37.4% (n = 34) were located in rural areas. Compared with their metropolitan counterparts, rural coordinators were more likely to be funded by philanthropy (p = 0.002); work part-time (p =0.017); and work with patients in the community (p < 0.001). In 37.4% (n = 34) of cases patients were required to have a particular type of cancer to receive care coordination. Positions funded by philanthropy were more likely to have this requirement (p = 0.002). Conclusion Health professionals undertaking cancer care coordination are diverse and the service offered differs according to location and funding source. There may be inequities in care, with people living in particular areas, attending particular health services or with certain tumour types more likely to receive cancer care coordination.

Cancer Support Workers and Multidisciplinary teams

Results of a survey of the role of multidisciplinary team coordinators for colorectal cancer in England and Wales 2007, Colorectal Disease (The official journal of the Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland)

Objective: Over the last 6 years, multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) have been established and play a key role in organizing the delivery of cancer care in the UK. There are no published data on the roles of their co-coordinators. To seek the views of colorectal multidisciplinary team co-ordinators (MDTCs) on what they do and how they do it. METHOD: Questionnaires were sent to the colorectal MDTC, or equivalent, in all 180 NHS hospital trusts in England and Wales where colorectal cancer surgery is performed. RESULTS: There was a 70% response rate. Seventy-one per cent of trusts now have a dedicated MDTC, whereas in 2002, only 40% had one. MDTCs generally keep their information on databases, but these differ, and are not coordinated with data entry into the national colorectal cancer database of the Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland. In only 26 trusts does the MDTC communicate decisions to primary care, and the patients seem almost completely excluded from this process. CONCLUSION: The recently formed national MDTC Forum should grasp the opportunity of coordinating all of this well-intentioned but pluralistic activity to the benefit of patients, primary care and hospital teams. An effective MDTC with a robust database will be the key in achieving cancer waiting time targets with useful audit, thereby improving patient care.

<u>Cancer care coordinator: promoting multidisciplinary care – a pilot study in Australian general practice</u> 2013, Collegian *Abstract only**

Aim: We hypothesised that patients treated for breast cancer would benefit from targeted therapeutic action delivered by general practitioners on the recommendations of a multidisciplinary team based in primary care. METHODS Patients scheduled for follow-up visits at a hospital surgical clinic were invited to complete a selfadministered care needs assessment and be interviewed by a breast care nurse. Members of the multidisciplinary team discussed the audio-recorded interviews within 2 weeks. The team made recommendations for each patient, which were presented to the general practitioner as a suggested 'care plan'. Health status information was collected via the Short Form 36 and Anxiety and Depression data via the Hospital anxiety and Depression Scale at recruitment and 3 months later. RESULTS Among the 74 women who were invited to participate, 21 were recruited over a 6-month period (28%), 19 of whom completed the study (90%). The mean age was 55 years (range 38-61 years) and the mean time in followup was 23 months (range 16-38 months). The team identified a median of three problems per patient (range 2-7) and made an average of two recommendations per patient for referral to an allied health professional (range 0-5). At 3 months, 17 women had attended their general practitioner, 11 of whom felt their condition had improved as a result of the intervention. There was no significant change in Short Form 36 or Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale score after the intervention. CONCLUSIONS Primary care-based multidisciplinary review of treated breast cancer patients is feasible and, for most, results in benefit. However, only a minority of eligible patients participated in this pilot study and the logistics of organising the reviews warrants careful consideration.

<u>Cancer care coordinators' relationships with the multidisciplinary team and patients: Everything to everyone</u> June 2012, Australian Journal of Cancer Nursing *Abstract only**

This study aimed to examine the role of cancer care coordinators (CCCs) by investigating what is practically involved in care coordination and what CCCs' perceptions of their role are. Using a qualitative approach with an action research design, two CCCs from a large regional hospital in Australia undertook a patient record audit, analysed using content analysis, and reflected upon within a reflective group process. In practice, cancer care coordination involves a variety of activities which support the multidisciplinary team, cancer patients and carers. The participants' perspective--that they were everything to everyone--was an acceptable way of defining the parameters of their role. Areas requiring consideration are multidisciplinary team function in regard to liaison and shared responsibility, strategies to reduce the potential deskilling of team members, increasing awareness of the importance of promoting patient self-management, critically reflecting on relationships with team members and patients, and endeavouring to gain organisational and multidisciplinary team support for what appears to be a role on which there is great reliance.

Establishing a community-based lung cancer multidisciplinary clinic as part of a large integrated health care system: aurora health care November 2010, Journal of Oncology Practice

A community cancer clinic, through cooperation with its parent health care system, developed a lung cancer multidisciplinary clinic (MDC) to enhance patient care and prevent out-migration to competing health care systems. The local medical and radiation oncologists collaborated with a thoracic surgeon from the tertiary care hospital in establishing the lung MDC. All the participating physicians are employed by the health care system. A cancer care coordinator assured that all necessary tests were obtained and available to the physicians at least 1 day before the clinic. The multidisciplinary team also included a pulmonologist and met every third week. Other sub-specialists were involved as necessary. Final

treatment recommendations using National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines were made for each patient at the MDC visit. This clinic, once established, resulted in significant improvements in the quality of care, patient satisfaction and retention of patients. Time from diagnosis to initiation of treatment was reduced to a mean of 18 days from a mean of 24 days. The community cancer clinic had an increase in lung cancer patient care by 28% and a 9.1% increase in gross revenue. The tertiary care hospital benefited by providing all patients with definitive surgery, including minimally invasive surgery. The tertiary hospital thoracic surgeon had a 75% increase in referrals from the lung MDC geographic area over the previous year. This collaboration in the development of MDCs demonstrates how patients, caregivers, and the health care system benefit from MDCs.

Competency Frameworks

Care Navigation Competency Framework Health Education England, 2016

The word 'navigator' derives from Latin navis - 'ship' - and agere - 'drive' - meaning 'a person who steers a ship'.

Charting stormy uncertain seas requires good navigation — with purpose and direction. Similarly, most people at some point in their life may benefit from 'navigation' through encounters with different health services, agencies and professionals, across an often confusing seascape of health, social and community care. And it's not just an issue for service users, there is broad consensus from healthcare professionals that such systems can be complex and difficult to navigate

*Help accessing articles or papers

Where a report/journal article or resource is freely available the link has been provided. If an NHS OpenAthens account is required this has been indicated. It has also been highlighted if only the abstract is available. If you do not have an OpenAthens account you can self-register here.

If you need help accessing an article, or have any other questions, contact the Knowledge Management team for support Knowledge Management @hee.nhs.uk